

6th April 2011 Planning Committee – Additional Representations

Applications on behalf of the South Downs National Park Authority

Agenda Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment
61	Stanmer House, Stanmer Park	BH2011/00286	<p>Additional consultation responses have been received: English Heritage: Do not wish to comment on the application. Letters have been received from occupiers of no. 33 De Montford Road and no. 9 Milner Road <u>objecting</u> to the proposed development on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This part of Stanmer Park is used frequently not only as a lawn for recreational use (picnics with children etc.) but as a footpath / gateway to the area to the south of it. • The high walls proposed would detract from the character of the house.

Applications as Local Planning Authority

Plans List Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment
43	Rear of 23 Falmer Road	BH2010/03462	<p>Following the re-consultation of neighbouring residents, a second letter has been received from the occupiers of no. 8 The Roytings, raising the following <u>objections</u> to the proposed development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The access track is only used by pedestrians and those vehicles travelling to the recreation ground car park; no further use of the track [to access the proposed development] should be allowed.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The wild aspect of the site as existing will be lost. • The proposed rooflights will cause overlooking of neighbouring properties. • Should the application be granted, consent may also be sought for a new house located in the garden of no. 25 Falmer Road, which could be accessed through the application site. • Development such as that proposed will turn the village into a suburb of the city. • Local infrastructure is already oversubscribed and further development will worsen this situation.
72	14 Shirley Road	BH2010/03983	Objection received from Councillor Jayne Bennett – copy attached.
78	5 Bedford Place	BH2010/03423	This application has been deferred in order to allow Officers to research and review further information and obtain legal advice about the planning status of the rear second floor balcony.

NB. Representations received after midday the Friday before the date of the Committee meeting will not be reported (Sub-Committee resolution of 23 February 2005).